II RESULTS

Examples of Prioritizing Evidence of Effectiveness in Government Grant Programs

This table provides specific examples of how governments have prioritized evidence of effectiveness in their grantmaking decisions. Using evidence of effectiveness means shifting taxpayer dollars to programs that have been proven to be effective through strong evaluations or based on their past performance.

Of note, the term "grant programs" as used below includes all types of government spending on human services programs, including grants, contracts, cooperative agreements, and other programmatic expenditures.

Strategy	Activity	Difficulty Level	Example				
1. [1. Define and Identify Evidence						
1a	Adopt a common definition of the term "evidence" to be used across budget, policy, and programmatic decisions at the state level (and at the local level, if possible); this could include aligning state programs with evidence definitions and requirements in federal programs	Easy	 Tiered definition of evidence in the federal Every Student Succeeds Act Washington and Colorado (RFA 2018 State Standard of Excellence) Nevada Department of Education (see Appendix 4 of linked case study for examples and more state program details) 				
1b	Identify programs and practices being implemented across the state and categorize them according to a common definition of evidence	Hard	 Colorado, Connecticut, Mississippi, New Mexico, and Oregon (RFA 2018 State Standard of Excellence) Washington's Inventory of Evidence- and Research-Based Practices and Menu of Best Practices and Strategies Michigan's MyStrategyBank (a component of MiDataHub) Minnesota Office of Management and Budget Inventory 				

Strategy	Activity	Difficulty Level	Example		
1c	Highlight and/or link to evidence-based strategies in funding announcements and/ or grant applications	Easy	 Pennsylvania (Workforce Innovation and Opportunity Act [WIOA plan] highlights Center for Employment Opportunities), Hawai'i (WIOA plan highlights Pay for Performance) Corporation for National and Community Service AmeriCorps evidence-based education programs (RFA 2019 Federal Standard) Nevada Department of Education Multi-Grant Competitive Application Illinois' IL-EMPOWER Learning Partners Connecticut's Evidence-Based Practice Guides (linked to in various grant applications) Georgia's Local Education Agency Guidance for Selecting Evidence- Based Interventions 		
1d	Encourage the use of evidence-based interventions by creating or identifying existing clearinghouses of evidence-based interventions	Medium	 California, Minnesota, Washington (RFA 2018 State Standard of Excellence) Ohio's Evidence-Based Clearinghouse 		
2. Prioritize Evidence of Effectiveness in Grant Design					
2a	Ask grant applicants how they plan to utilize evidence/data in their program, whether their program is evidence-based and at what level of rigor (i.e. strong, moderate, or preliminary evidence)	Easy	 US Department of Education (USED) Teacher and School Leader Incentive Program USED Striving Readers Comprehensive Literacy Grants Nevada Department of Education Office of Student and School Supports 		
2b	Give applicants for federal and state competitive grant funds <i>preference points</i> if they propose investing those funds in evidence-based programs and practices	Medium	• CNCS AmeriCorps <u>2019 NOFA</u> (RFA 2019 Federal Standard)		

Strategy	Activity	Difficulty Level	Example	
2c	Require the use of evidence-based programs and services	Easy	 Oregon, Florida, and Washington (2018 State Standard of Excellence) HHS ACF Family First Act and Family First Prevention Services Act (Title IV-E funds) (2018 Federal Standard) Vermont Department of Education Nevada Department of Education 	
2d	Create tiered evidence grant programs that provide competitive funding to programs at different levels of evidence to identify promising practices and expand proven interventions	Medium	 USED Education Innovation and Research program CNCS Social Innovation Fund 	
3. Outcomes-Based Grant Management				
3a	Assign points for grantees' past performance in achieving outcome goals when reviewing new grant applications and/or considering grant renewals	Easy	• US Department of Housing and Urban Development Continuum of Care up to 56 points for past performance in reducing homelessness (RFA 2019 Federal Standard of Excellence, 8.2)	
3b	Structure competitive grant programs so recipients get initial funding (e.g., three years) but must show results to receive continuation funding (e.g., two additional years)	Easy	• US Department of Justice <u>Juvenile</u> <u>Justice Reform Act</u> funds awarded to states are ended after year two if grantees have not met their requirements	
3с	Use evaluation/outcomes data to identify low-performing grantees (e.g., the bottom 10%) and then require them to re-compete for future funding	Medium	• US Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) Administration for Children and Families (ACF) Head Start Designation Renewal System (RFA 2019 Federal Standard of Excellence)	
3d	Use performance-based contracts that link outcomes to payments by requiring achievement of certain performance benchmarks to receive grant payments	Easy	• <u>Pennsylvania</u> (2018 State Standard of Excellence), <u>Ohio WIOA Aspire</u> program	
3e	Set aside funding for providers offering models with high or moderate causal evidence or contracts that pay directly for outcomes	Medium	• HHS Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services 10% mental health block grant set aside (RFA <u>2019</u> <u>Federal Standard</u>)	

Strategy	Activity	Difficulty Level	Example			
4. Evidence-Building Activities						
4a	Authorize grant recipients to use their awarded funds for evaluations as a direct cost, not just indirect ones	Easy	• US Department of Labor (DOL) <u>Reemployment Services and Eligibility</u> <u>Assessment</u> grant allows up to 10% for evaluation			
4b	Require grantees to participate in state agency–led and funded evaluation as a condition of receiving grant funding if asked by the funding agency	Easy	• US DOL (RFA <u>2018 Federal Standard</u>)			
4c	Require and/or incentivize (via competitive preference points, for example) grantees to conduct evaluations of their funded program(s)	Medium	 CNCS AmeriCorps <u>evaluation</u> requirement for grants over \$500k (RFA 2019 Federal Standard) HHS ACF <u>Responsible Fatherhood</u> Opportunities for Reentry and Mobility (RFA 2019 Federal Standard) 			
4d	Set aside a percentage (i.e. 1%) of funding in each program or agency to fund evaluation and research	Easy	• HHS ACF Child Care and Development Fund . <u>5% set aside</u> (RFA 2019 Federal Standard, see 9.3)			
4e	Create a statewide and/or agency-specific evaluation fund to allow for the evaluation of funded programs	Medium	• Colorado's Implementation and Evaluation Grant			

For more details on how governments can use evidence of effectiveness to achieve better results, please see Results for America's Blueprint for Delivering Results in State Government (Strategy K), 2019 Invest in What Works State Standard of Excellence (Criteria #12), 2019 Invest in What Works Federal Standard of Excellence, 7 Ways to Improve Workforce Outcomes Using Evidence: 2019 Policy Roadmap for State & Local Officials, and Once Evidence Skeptics, Now Evidence Champions: The Nevada Department of Education Seized the Opportunity presented by the Every Student Succeeds Act to Prioritize Evidence to Improve Nevada Public Schools.